

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/405,735	09/24/1999	GERALD B. PIER	B0801/7155	9816
75	590 05/21/2003			
HELEN C LOCKHART			EXAMINER	
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS P C 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210		SIEW, JEFFREY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	1637	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. PIER, GERALD B. 09/405.735 **Advisory Action Art Unit** Examiner 1637 Jeffrey Siew -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In b) no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \(\subseteq \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: ____ . Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: 14-43. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-13 and 44-68. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ____ 10. Other:





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment in claim 14 inserts a "-" above alanine and raise new objections and considerations.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's amendment that deletes "therapeutically effective amount" and " upregulating CFTR expression" in claim 14 would overcome the 112 first and second rejections. The amendment to claims 26 & 36 would overcome the 112 second paragraph rejection.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the response states that Masoud et al do not teach bioactive agent or nontoxic lipid no matter how broadly the reading of the terms are interpreted and states that bioactive agent include diagnostic agents such as drug and molecules. The terms do read reasonably on a covalent bound compound including the polysaccharide tails themselves. While the claims are read in light of the specification, the readings of the specification are not necessarily read into the claims. The language without more specificity still read on Masoud et al's reference.

JEFFREY SIEW
PRIMARY EXAMINER

5/13/03